

Accélérateur de la transformation numérique



STAKECUBE

Combining Sharding and Proof-of-Stake to build Fork-free Secure Permissionless Distributed Ledgers



Antoine Durand (IRT-SystemX) Emmanuelle Anceaume (CNRS/IRISA) Romaric Ludinard (IMT Atlantique)

Advance Blockchain | Initiative BART (2/3)



Technologie Blockchain (axes 1, 3 et 6) :

- Modélisation et automatisation de processus métiers
- Algorithmes de consensus pour l'IoT (Thèse #2: SystemX / TPT / IMT / Atos)
- Evolutivité et volumétrie des Blockchains



Blockchain de consortium (axe 4) :

- Modèles et règles de gouvernance
- Modèles économiques et d'incitations
- Gestion décentralisée des identités et certification



Données et sécurité (axes 2 et 5) :

- Confidentialité et anonymisation des données (transactions)
- Analyse, visualisation et valorisation des données (Thèse #4: SystemX / INRIA)
- Calcul multipartite sécurisé (Thèse #3: SystemX / INRIA)



Régulation (axe 4) :

- Blockchain vs. GDPR (règlement général sur la protection des données)
- Blockchain vs. relations contractuelles de droit privé (Thèse #1: SystemX / INRIA / UVSQ)







Achievements

- Model
- Properties

A set of ingredients

- Tools and protocols
- PeerCube

Protocol description

- Overview
- Credential System
- Shard composition update
- Producing the next block
- Future work





Sharded Ledger

- Motivated by uses case where efficiency concerns are a priority
- Per-block agreement approach in the UTXO model

Stake-bounded Weakly dynamic adversary

- Corruption threshold and synchrony hypothesis are offloaded to building blocks
- Corruptions are moving but subject to a delay
- Probabilistic guarantees
 - All properties holds with probability $1 negl(\kappa)$



Properties (informal)

Safety

- If honest users *i* and *j* accepts respectively block B_h^i and B_h^i at height *h*, then $B_h^i = B_h^j$
- Liveness
 - Every submitted transaction is eventually confirmed by all honest users



Properties (informal)

Scalability

- Overall communication cost is O(nc₁+c₂)
- c_1 and c_2 are polynomial in the security parameter κ

Efficiency

- Each block takes a constant number of rounds
- Transaction confirmation takes one block

A set of ingredients



Tools and protocols

Cryptographic primitives

- Standard cryptographic tools
- Verifiable Random Function (VRF)
- Vector consensus
- Random Beacon
- Verifiable Byzantine Agreement



PeerCube[1]

Sharded Distributed Hash Table

- Require random, globally verifiable and short-lived identifiers
- Creates shards based on the XOR distance function
- Each shard is randomly splited into two sets
 - The core set runs all procedures and has a fixed size
 - The spare set only follows the core set
- Statistical security against byzantine adversaries
 - Probability of corrupting a shard negligible in the core set size
 - $_\circ$ $\,$ Actually tolerates up to ${\cal F}$ corrupted shards



Execution overview

• Each block has an associated random seed

- Bootstrap the global credential system
- Credentials are given to PeerCube, which maintains shards

All shards update their composition in parallel

- Handle join requests and core set election
- New block and randomness generated by a subset of shards
 - Leverage low corrupted shard bound ${\mathcal F}$



Unpredictable and Perishable credentials

- A random credential $\sigma_i(h)$ is given to unspent output pk_i at height h
 - The randomness is drawn from the block random seed
- Credentials are renewed every T blocks
 - The first credential is given T blocks after transaction inclusion

$$\sigma_{i}(h) = hash(pk_{i} \parallel seed(B_{h'}))$$
$$h' \coloneqq h_{0} + \left[\frac{h - h_{0}}{T}\right]T$$



Shard composition update

- Composition of a shard $S(h) = (S_c(h), S_s(h))$
- Join requests are locally stored in a buffer b_i
- Upon reception of block B_h
 - Expiring credentials are removed
 - Users in $S_c(h-1)$ run a vector agreement with input b_i
 - Defines the set of new spares
 - Users in $S_c(h-1)$ run a random beacon
 - Seeds the core election
 - $S_c(h)$ is sent to all shards



Block creation process

- A subset of shards runs the verifiable byzantine agreement
 - The subset size ensure correctness despite ${\mathcal F}$ corrupted shards
 - Benefits from (leader-based) optimistic protocols
 - The algorithm is adapted to be run by shards instead of nodes
- Block are proposed by shards
 - The transactions are obtained from the vector consensus
 - The randomness is derived from the vector consensus with VRF as input

Future work



- Signatures aggregation
- Storage sharding
- Security/efficiency improvements
 - Tighter statistical bounds
 - Posterior corruption security
 - Stake-based weights
- Implementation & benchmarking



Accélérateur de la transformation numérique



Thanks for your attention

Any questions?

www.irt-systemx.fr





Statistical security against byzantine adversaries

- Malicious users are scattered across all shards
- Shard assignment to byzantine credentials follows an multivariate hypergeometric law
- Probability of corrupting a single shard core is bounded by Hoeffding bound
- Overall corruption probability with the union bound $\mathbb{P}[\forall \mathcal{S}, \# byz(\mathcal{S}) > \mu C_{size}] < e^{-(2\varepsilon_{\mu}^{2}C_{size} - \ln(N_{shard}))}$
- Actually tolerates up to *F* corrupted shards





- Each UTXO has a bounded amount of stake
- Each output contains a public key
- Clean recovery from corruption
- New users can safely connect to the network



- Composition of a shard $S(h) = (S_c(h), S_s(h))$
- Join requests are locally stored in a buffer b_i
- Upon reception of block B_h
 - Users in $S_c(h-1)$ run a vector agreement with input b_i
 - Users in $S_c(h-1)$ run a random beacon
 - S(h) is computed such that
 - All expiring credentials are removed
 - Joining request are added in the spare set
 - The core set is filled using the beacon randomness
 - $S_c(h)$ is sent to all shards